

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,261	12/31/2003	Ryan Charles Catherman	RPS920030206US2	8466
25299 7590 03/08/2007 IBM CORPORATION PO BOX 12195 DEPT YXSA, BLDG 002 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			EXAMINER TURCHEN, JAMES R	
			<u> </u>	,
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	· DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	03/08/2007	PAP	PER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/749,261	CATHERMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James Turchen	2139			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
• •	VIO OCT TO CYDIDE AN	ONTHES OF THEFTY (20) PAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (e), cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communicatio  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 E	December 2003.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) - Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits i	s		
closed in accordance with the practice under the	· ·	•			
Disposition of Claims		•	i .		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	• I.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/a		objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the confined copies not				
		•			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del>			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,261 Page 2

Art Unit: 2139

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-25 are pending.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 12, 14, 17 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 12, 14, 17 of Application No. 10/750,594. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 12, 14, and 17 are anticipated by 10/750,594's claims 1, 12, 14, 17 in that the claims 1, 12, 14, and 17 of 10/750,594 contain all of the limitations of the instant application (see Claim-Comparison Table below). Claims 1, 12, 14, and 17 of the instant application therefore are not patentably

Art Unit: 2139

distinct from 10/750,594's claims and as such are unpatentable for obvious-type double patenting (*In re Goodman (CAFC) 29 USPQ2d 2010 (12/31/1993)*).

Claim	Application No. 10/749,261	Claim	Application No. 10/750,594
1	A method for securely	1	A method for securely
	creating an endorsement		creating an endorsement
	certificate for a device		certificate for a device
	in an insecure		in an insecure
	environment, said method		environment, said method
	comprising: generating		comprising: generating for
	for a valid device an	:	a valid device an
	endorsement key pair that		endorsement key pair that
	includes a private key		includes a private key and
	and a public key, wherein		a public key, wherein said
	said private key is not		private key is not public
	public readable; creating		readable; creating a non-
	a non-public, signing key		public, secure value that
	pair that is injected		is provided to both a
	into a plurality of valid		plurality of valid devices
	devices; verifying at a		and a credential server;
	credential server that an		verifying by utilizing
	endorsement key of a		said non-public, secure
	requesting device is a	i	value that an endorsement

Art Unit: 2139

valid endorsement key generated during manufacture of said valid device by confirming a signature of said endorsement key is a public signing key of said signing key pair, wherein said credential server includes secure identification data of said non-public, signing key pair; and inserting an endorsement certificate into said device to indicate that said device is an approved device by an OEM (original equipment manufacturer) of the device only when said endorsement key is

key of said valid device is a valid endorsement key of said endorsement key pair that was generated during manufactured of said valid device, wherein a function of a first copy of said non-public, secure value within said credential server matches a similar function of a second copy of said nonpublic, secure value associated with the endorsement key received at the credential server; and inserting an endorsement certificate into said device to indicate that said device is an approved device by an OEM (original equipment

Art Unit: 2139

	confirmed having been		manufacturer) of the
	generated from within a		device.
	valid device.		
12	A data processing system	12	A data processing system
	comprising: a processor;		comprising: a processor; a
	a trusted platform module		trusted platform module
	(TPM) chip; a bus for		(TPM) chip; a bus for
	interconnecting said		interconnecting said
	processor and said TPM		processor and said TPM
	chip; a network interface		chip; a network interface
	with communication means		with communication means
	for connecting said TPM		for connecting said TPM to
	to a secure credential		a secure credential
	server; and means whereby		server; and means, whereby
	said TPM is able to		said TPM is able to verify
	verify an endorsement key		an endorsement key pair as
	pair of said TPM as being		being a valid pair
:	a valid pair generated		generated within said TPM
	during manufacture of		by utilizing a secure,
	said TPM by utilizing a		private, single-use value
	signing key pair injected		inserted by a TPM vendor
	by a TPM vendor into the		into the TPM during
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

Art Unit: 2139

	TPM during manufacture of		manufacture of the TPM.
	the TPM.		
14	A data processing system	14	A data processing system
	utilized for issuing		utilized for issuing
	endorsement certificates,		endorsement certificates,
	comprising: a processor;		comprising: a processor; a
	a memory couple to said		memory couple to said
·	processor via an		processor via an
	interconnect; a security		interconnect; a security
	mechanism for ensuring		mechanism for ensuring
	optimum security of	:	optimum security of
	processes within said		processes within said data
	data processing system;		processing system;
	input/output mechanism		input/output mechanism for
	for receiving a signing		receiving a first value
	key certificate from a		received from a TPM vendor
	TPM vendor for		for utilization during a
	utilization during a		credential process for a
	credential process for a		specific group of
	specific group of		manufactured TPM devices;
	manufactured TPM devices;		and secure communication
	and secure communication		means for receiving an

Art Unit: 2139

endorsement key (EK) means for receiving an requesting issuance of an endorsement key (EK) endorsement certificate, requesting issuance of an endorsement certificate, wherein said EK comprises a public endorsement key wherein said EK comprises. and a second value a public endorsement key signed by a public provided for verifying signing key; and program that said EK was generated from within one of said means for determining, by utilizing said public manufactured TPM devices: signing key and said and program means for signing key certificate, determining, by utilizing when said EK is an EK of said second value, when said EK is a valid EK of an endorsement key pair that was generated within an endorsement key pair one of said manufactured that was generated within TPM devices. one of said manufactured TPM devices. 17 A system for securely A system for securely 17 creating an endorsement creating an endorsement certificate for a device certificate for a TPM device in an insecure in an insecure

Art Unit: 2139

environment, said system comprising: means for generating for a valid device an endorsement key pair that includes a private key and a public key, wherein said private key is not public readable; means for creating a non-public, secure value that is provided to both a plurality of valid devices and a credential server; means for verifying at a credential server that an endorsement key of a requesting device is a valid endorsement key generated during manufacture of said valid

environment, said system comprising: means for generating for a valid device an endorsement key pair that includes a private key and a public key, wherein said private key is not public readable; means for creating a non-public, secure value that is provided to both a plurality of valid devices and a credential server; means for verifying by utilizing said non-public, secure value that an endorsement key of said valid device is a valid endorsement key of said endorsement key pair that was generated during

Art Unit: 2139

device by confirming a signature of said endorsement key is a public signing key of said signing key pair, wherein said credential server includes secure identification data of said non-public, signing key pair; and means for inserting an endorsement certificate into said device to indicate that said device is an approved device by an OEM (original equipment manufacturer) of the device only when said endorsement key is confirmed having been generated from within a valid device.

manufacture of said valid device, wherein a function of a first copy of said non-public, secure value within said credential server matches a similar function of a second copy of said non-public, secure value associated with the endorsement key received at the credential server; and means for inserting an endorsement certificate into said device to indicate that said device is an approved device by an OEM (original equipment manufacturer) of the device wherein said inserting is completed only when said verifying step is confirmed.

Art Unit: 2139

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2139

3. Claims 1-6, 8-22, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challener (US 2002/0169717) in view of Smith et al. (US 6,233,685).

Regarding claim 1:

Challener discloses generating for a valid device an endorsement key pair that includes a private key and a public key (paragraphs 0022-0024, public key, P2, and private key, P4), wherein said private key is not public readable (inherent trait of public/private key pairs); creating a non-public, signing key pair (paragraph 0021 and 0024, endorsement key with public key, P1, and private key, P3) [Examiner interprets non-public key pair in light of the specification as a key pair that is used amongst a few select entities or only temporarily in the communication.]; and inserting an endorsement certificate into said device to indicate that said device is an approved device by an OEM (original equipment manufacturer) of the device (paragraph 0024, certificate, C2) only when said endorsement key is confirmed having been generated from within a valid device (it is common in the art and inherent that the key is generated within the device (see US 6,973,191 for reference)). Challener does not disclose verifying at a credential server that an endorsement key of a requesting device is a valid endorsement key generated during manufacture of said valid device by confirming a signature of said endorsement key is a public signing key of said signing key pair, wherein said credential server includes secure identification data of said non-public, signing key pair (inherent property of identity based authentication of a CA to contain information about the key pair). Smith et al. discloses in columns 8 lines 35-67 to column 9 lines 1-28, verifying at a credential server (Certificate Authority, CA) a signature of said endorsement key

Art Unit: 2139

(device key as used in Smith et al.) is a public signing key (authorities public key) of signing key pair.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method of Challener for generating an endorsement key, creating a signing key, and inserting an endorsement certificate with the method of Smith et al. for verifying that a key is in fact a key from the device in order to certify the device (Smith et al. column 8 lines 60-63).

### Claim 2:

Smith et al. discloses providing a signing key certificate for said signing key pair, said signing key certificate including a public singing key of said signing key pair; and forwarding said signing key certificate via a secure communication medium to said credential server (column 9 lines 12-17, the device presents the certificate and the information contained in it (it is inherent to include the public key of the certificate with the certificate) to the requesting party (CA)).

## Claim 3:

Challener al. discloses signing said public key of the endorsement key pair (paragraph 0023, the public key, P2, and the certificate, C1, are sent to the CA (it is inherent to send information encrypted by the public key of the certificate along with the certificate)) with a public signing key (P1) of said signing key pair when creating the endorsement key (EK); and forwarding a resulting signed EK to said credential server to initiate a credential process (paragraph 0023).

## Claim 4:

Art Unit: 2139

Challener discloses receiving said signed EK at said credential server (paragraph 0023); comparing the public signing key within the signing key certificate with a signature from the signed EK (it is inherent to use the public key of the certificate); and when the public signing key matches the signature, confirming (verifying) said EK as originating from a valid device (paragraph 0023).

## Claim 5:

Challener discloses a CA which inherently stores the credential in a database of said credential server; monitors for a request from a customer to provide said certificate to said device (this is done with the request for certification); and following a receipt of said customer request, transmitting said certificate to said device to be inserted within the device (this is done after the certification).

## Claim 6:

It is inherent in TCPA for the endorsement key to be once writable, public readable (see TCPA Spec 1.1b, page 261) therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the certificate once writable, public readable.

### Claim 8:

Smith et al. discloses that the CA can be a remotely located third party with a secure connection (column 8 lines 31-43).

## Claim 9:

The endorsement key is a unique key per platform module. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the signing key a unique key per platform module.

Art Unit: 2139

Claims 10 and 11 teach the system associated with the method disclosed in claim 1.

Claims 12 and 13:

Challener discloses a processor (Figure 1, 110), a TPM chip (111), a bus for interconnecting said processor and said TPM chip (it is inherent to connect two or more components through a bus), a network interface with communication means for connecting said TPM to a secure credential server (Communications Adapter 134 and Network 160). The means whereby said TPM is able to verify an endorsement key pair of said TPM as being a valid pair generated during manufacture of said TPM by utilizing a signing key pair injected by a TPM vendor into the TPM during manufacture of the TPM, means for signing a public value of said endorsement key pair with a public signing key of said signing key pair to generate a signed EK, and means for forwarding said signed EK to said credential server, wherein said credential server returns an endorsement certificate only when the signed EK was generated within the TPM as confirmed by a comparison of the signed EK's public signing key with a public signing key of the signing key certificate as the system of the method claims 1-5, rejected under the same arguments.

Claim 14 and 15:

Claims 14 and 15 disclose the functionality and system of a Certificate Authority. It is inherent for a Certificate Authority to have a processor, a memory coupled to said processor, a security mechanism, input/output mechanism, secure communication means, and program means for determining if data is authentic.

Art Unit: 2139

Claims 17-22, 24, and 25 correspond to the system of method claims 1-6, 8, and

9. Claims 17-22, 24, and 25 are rejected under the same logic as claims 1-6, 8, and 9.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the TCPA compliant chipset of Challener with the method and system for certifying information of Smith et al. in order to increase security of initial device certification (Smith et al. column 8 lines 56-60).

Claims 7 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challener and Smith et al. as applied to claims 1 and 17 above, and further in view of Wood et al. (US 2006/0072747).

Challener and Smith et al. discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 17 respectively, but they do not disclose a temporary key. Wood et al. discloses using a temporary key (figure 6, step 605-645) after which the key is no longer used (discarded). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method and system of claims 1 and 17 disclosed by Challener and Smith et al. with the temporary key of Wood et al. in order to provide additional security (Wood et al. paragraph 0039).

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses TCPA compliant chipsets and certificate generation and exchange.

Togh, I Arani primary Examine Tagking arem

Application/Control Number: 10/749,261

Art Unit: 2139

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Turchen whose telephone number is 571-270-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWRF 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Taghi Arani can be reached on 571-272-3787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JRT**